Richard A. Jacobsen (RJ5136) ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 506-5000 Facsimile: (212) 506-5151

ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

Gabriel M. Ramsey
(pro hac vice application pending)
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
1000 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, California 94025
Telephono. (650) 614, 7400

Telephone: (650) 614-7400 Facsimile: (650) 614-7401

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
FS-ISAC, INC. and NATIONAL AUTOMA
CLEARING HOUSE ASSOCIATION

CV 12-1935

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MICROSOFT CORP., FS-ISAC, INC., and NATIONAL AUTOMATED CLEARING HOUSE ASSOCIATION,

Plaintiffs

v.

JOHN DOES 1-39 D/B/A Slavik, Monstr, IOO, Null, nvidiag, zebra7753, lexa Mef, gss, iceIX, Harderman, Gribodemon, Aqua, aquaSecond, it, percent, cp01, hct, xman, Pepsi, miami, miamibc, petr0vich, Mr. ICQ, Tank, tankist, Kusunagi, Noname, Lucky, Bashorg, Indep, Mask, Enx, Benny, Bentley, Denis Lubimov, MaDaGaSka, Vkontake, rfcid, parik, reronic, Daniel, bx1, Daniel Hamza, Danielbx1, jah, Jonni, jtk, Veggi Roma, D frank, duo, Admin2010, h4x0rdz, Donsft, mary.J555, susanneon, kainehabe, virus e 2003, spaishp, sere, bro, muddem, mechan 1 zm. vlad.dimitrov, jheto2002, sector.exploits AND JabberZeus Crew CONTROLLING COMPUTER BOTNETS THEREBY INJURING PLAINTIFFS, AND THEIR CUSTOMERS AND MEMBERS.

Defendants.

Case No. 12: CIV ____

FILED UNDER SEAL

MANN. M.J.

ö

PLAINTIFFS' EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN EMERGENCY TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER, SEIZURE ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION Plaintiffs Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft"), FS-ISAC, Inc., and the National Automated Clearing House Association ("NACHA"), pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b) and (c), the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (18 U.S.C. § 1030), the CAN-SPAM Act (15 U.S.C. § 7704), the Electronic Communications Privacy Act (18 U.S.C. § 2701), the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. § 1125), the Racketeer Influenced And Corrupt Organizations Act (18 U.S.C. § 1962(c)), the common law, and the All Writs Act (28 U.S.C. § 1651), respectfully apply to this Court for an emergency *ex parte* temporary restraining order, seizure order and order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue.

As discussed in Plaintiffs' brief in support of this Application, Plaintiffs request an order disabling a number of Internet Domains and Internet Protocol (IP) addresses and seizing the command and control servers and software by which Defendants control a harmful computer "botnet." Botnets are computer networks made up of tens of thousands and sometimes millions of end-user computers infected with malicious software that puts them under the control of individuals and organizations who use them for illegal activities, including stealing end-users financial information and other personal information, sending spam email, and infringing companies' trademarks. The requested relief is necessary to halt the growth of the botnet that is causing irreparable injury to Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' customers and members, and the public. As discussed in Plaintiffs' brief in support of this Application, ex parte relief is essential because if Defendants are given prior notice they will be able to destroy, move, conceal, or otherwise make inaccessible the facilities through which Defendants direct the harmful Zeus Botnets.

Plaintiffs' Application is based on this Plaintiffs' Brief In Support of this Application; the Declarations of Mark Debenham, Pamela Moore, William B. Nelson, Jesse D. Kornblum, William Johnson, and Jacob M. Heath in support of Plaintiffs' Application and the exhibits attached thereto; the pleadings on file in this action; and such argument and evidence as may be

Case 1:12-cv-01335-SJ-RLM Document 12 Filed 03/19/12 Page 3 of 3 PageID #: 1335

presented at the hearing on this Application. Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court grant the Application, such that it is hereby:

- 1. ORDERED, that the above-named Defendants show cause before this Court, at room <u>636</u>, United States District Court House, Cadman Plaza East, Kings County, in the State of New York, <u>OSA</u>, March <u>21</u>, 2012, at <u>Micoo</u> o'clock <u>1</u>.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, why an Order should not be issued pursuant to Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure granting Plaintiffs the relief sought in the Application; and it is further
- 2. ORDERED, that sufficient reason has been shown, pending the hearing of the Application by Plaintiffs, pursuant to Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and that the relief included under Plaintiffs' Proposed Order attached hereto be adopted.

SO ORDERED.

Signed this // day of March, 2012.

s/WFK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE